

United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CURTIS GEERLINGS

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

Case Number: 1:01-CR-78

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending revocation hearing in this case.

Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is:
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4);
 - ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death;
 - ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____
 - ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternate Findings (B)

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant is unquestionably an alcoholic. On November 20, 2006, the court ordered defendant to reside at the Community Alternatives Program for the remainder of his supervised release for using an opiate. The following month defendant left CAP without permission, following which he turned himself in to the U.S. Probation Office where he tested positive for the use of alcohol. He admitted drinking up to 12 beers a day during the several days he was absent. Although defendant doesn't drive, he maintains he has local employment as a hi-lo driver.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find defendant has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that there are conditions that will assure he will appear for future court proceedings. Clearly, defendant needs supervision due to his alcoholism, and his suggestion that he remain at a local motel certainly does not meet this need. CAP is not available since the defendant is considered a program failure and has been discharged after leaving that facility without permission. Nor can defendant be placed with his mother, who is allegedly a chronic alcoholic and has contributed to his drinking and gambling. (continued on next page)

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: January 10, 2007

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Curtis Geerlings

1:01-CR-78

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

Page 2.

Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

On or about November 22, 2006, she gave him money to go to a casino outside the district, without permission, whereupon he consumed excessive amounts of liquor. Thus, defendant has not met his burden of establishing an alternative to detention pending his revocation hearing.